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Every year thousands of migrants and refugees try to reach Europe. Some are driven by the need to escape grinding poverty; others are seeking refuge from violence and persecution. Their journey is fraught with danger. At least 23,000 people are estimated to have lost their lives trying to reach Europe since 2000.¹ And those who make it to the borders of the European Union (EU) find that safety remains beyond their grasp.

The EU and its Member States have constructed an increasingly impenetrable fortress to keep irregular migrants out – irrespective of their motives, regardless of the desperate measures that many are prepared to take to reach their shores. In order to ‘defend’ its borders, the EU has funded sophisticated surveillance systems, has given financial support to Member States located at its external borders (e.g. Bulgaria and Greece) to fortify their borders, and has created an agency to coordinate a Europe-wide team of border guards to patrol the EU’s frontiers.

Individual Member States themselves are taking drastic measures to stop irregular arrivals. Migrants and refugees are being expelled unlawfully from Bulgaria, Greece and Spain, without access to asylum procedures and often in ways that put them at grave risk. They are ill-treated by border guards and coastguards. In addition, some Member States are using the threat of lengthy detention as a deterrent for those thinking about coming to Europe.²

A refugee is a person who has fled from their own country because they have a well-founded fear of persecution and their government cannot or will not protect them. Asylum procedures are designed to determine whether someone meets the legal definition of a refugee. When a country recognises someone as a refugee, it gives them international protection as a substitute for the protection of their country of origin.

An asylum seeker is someone who has left their country seeking protection but has yet to be recognised as a refugee. During the time an asylum claim is being examined, asylum seekers must not be forced to return to their country of origin.

The measures employed by the EU do not stop at its actual borders but extend deep into neighbouring countries. The EU and its Member States have sought to create a buffer zone by entering into cooperation arrangements with neighbouring countries that help them block irregular migration towards Europe. They have funded reception and detention centres for migrants and refugees in countries where there are serious concerns over access to asylum procedures in detention, such as Turkey and Ukraine.³ They have put in place readmission agreements with countries of origin and transit, allowing those who manage to arrive in Europe to be sent back more easily.
The myths

Some in the EU and the media have tried to justify increasingly harsh migration policies on the grounds that Europe is having to cope with more than its fair share of refugees and migrants. It is also often argued that the vast majority of those irregularly entering Europe are economic migrants.

The facts

Most of the world’s refugees do not leave their regions of origin. At the end of 2013, the countries hosting the largest numbers of refugees were: Pakistan, Iran, Lebanon, Jordan, Turkey, Kenya, Chad, Ethiopia, China and the USA. Since the beginning of the crisis in Syria, over 2.8 million Syrians have fled their homes – more than half of them children. Only 96 000 had reached Europe in search of protection by the end of April 2014. In 2013, 48% of all irregular entrants and 63% of all those arriving irregularly by sea came from Syria, Eritrea, Afghanistan and Somalia, countries torn by conflict and widespread human rights abuses. The majority of those fleeing these countries are clearly fleeing generalised violence or persecution, and are prima facie in need of international protection.

These measures, whose effectiveness in stopping irregular migration to Europe is at best questionable, are causing human suffering and costing human lives. With safer routes into the EU being closed off by fences, increased surveillance and the deployment of more and more security forces, people are being forced to take ever more dangerous routes, sometimes with tragic consequences. Women, men and children are drowning at sea or suffocating in trucks. They face violence at the EU’s borders and are denied their right to seek asylum. Those seeking to enter the EU end up trapped in countries such as Libya, Morocco, Ukraine or Turkey, where their rights are at risk. In these countries they may suffer destitution without access to social and economic rights, or they face violence and even torture.

It is the sum total of these policies and practices, within, at and outside the EU’s borders, that this report refers to as ‘Fortress Europe’.
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